IMPROVED TECHNIQUES FOR DEPTH QUALITY INFORMATION ON NAVIGATIONAL CHARTS
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Abstract
Navigational charts contain a combination of geospatial information of varying quality collected at different times using various techniques. Bathymetric data quality is mainly encoded in electronic charts with the Category of Zones of Confidence (CATZOC). CATZOC provides information about the horizontal and vertical uncertainty of depth information, as well as the seabed coverage and feature detection. It is visualized in Electronic Chart Display and Information Systems (ECDIS) as an additional layer with glyphs using a rating system of stars: six to two stars for the best to lowest quality data and “U” for unassessed data. The current symbology creates visual clutter which is worse in areas of high quality bathymetry. Furthermore, horizontal and vertical uncertainties may not be adequately assessed by the user. This paper presents a research program aimed at the development of a method for portraying bathymetric data quality and for integrating the quantified uncertainties in ECDIS.
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INTRODUCTION

Bathymetry shown on nautical charts is compiled from geospatial information of varying quality, collected at different times, using various techniques. The first systematic hydrographic surveys were conducted using hand deployed lead lines and sextants. Improved techniques in the 20\textsuperscript{th} century included single-beam echo-sounders, and most recently, high resolution bathymetry is collected using multi-beam echo-sounders and airborne lidar systems. At the same time, with the use of satellite positioning systems the positional accuracy of surveys has also considerably increased. Data quality of geospatial information plays an important role in decision making in maritime navigation, and failure to take it into account can be one of the factors leading to maritime accidents [see e.g., the cases of Nova Cura (DSB, 2017) and Pazifik (BSU, 2020)].

The hydrographic community has been concerned with informing mariners about the data quality on charts since the 1919 International Hydrographic Conference in London (HMSO, 1920). The first agreed approach was with a description in the title of the chart (Pielou, 1984), which with time took the form of a chart inset either with the use of the source diagram introduced by Beaton (1960) or with the more complex reliability diagram. The simplistic nature of the former made it obsolete as it could no longer meet the needs of maritime navigation at the end of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century, whereas the complexity of the latter made it difficult for cartographers to construct and for the mariners to use (Roberts & Lewis, 1992 as cited in Johnson, 2004).
In an effort to fulfill the increasing needs of contemporary navigation, in the early 1990s, the hydrographic community introduced Zones of Confidence (ZOC) for use on paper and the newly introduced Electronic Navigational Charts (ENCs). The ZOC concept was developed to provide a simple and logical means of classifying all bathymetric data in terms of quality, and displaying the confidence that a national charting authority places in it (Johnson, 2004). The ZOC diagram has served nautical charting for about three decades and, with moderate enhancements, the concept will continue to exist in the new S-101 (IHO, 2018) transfer data standard for ENCs. However, the current symbology for displaying ZOC in the Electronic Chart Display and Information System (ECDIS) has shortcomings. The most important deficiencies are associated with the star glyphs coding scheme, and that the uncertainty values provided in the ZOC categories are not fully incorporated in ECDIS analysis in route planning and execution.

This work presents research on the development of new visualization and integration methods of data quality on charts in order to support decision making on board. In the following sections, we review the limitations of the current symbology, the recent research efforts for the development of improved visualization methods, the suitability of the available visual variables for the purpose, and, lastly, we discuss a potential solution under investigation.

ZONES OF CONFIDENCE AND QUALITY OF BATHYMETRIC DATA

The ZOC diagram formed a paradigm shift in the way the evaluation of data quality is performed by hydrographic offices in-house as part of the compilation process, replacing a system where the end-user was expected to perform the evaluation based on the provided quality indicators. For the ZOC diagram, the area of the chart is delineated into sectors (as with its predecessors) with each sector assigned one of the six ZOC categories shown in Table 1. The ZOC categories have a horizontal and vertical uncertainty associated with them, information about the seabed coverage and feature detection, as well as a short description of the typical survey characteristics [the detailed ZOC table can be found in IHO Special Publication 57 (IHO, 2014)]. With this information, mariners may more effectively interpret the seabed morphology, identify potential hazards for the vessel, and select routes that maintain under-keel clearance.

In ENCs, the ZOC categories are encoded in the attribute CATZOC (Category of ZOC) of the meta-object M_QUAL (quality of data) which also has a number of optional attributes, e.g., SOUACC (sounding accuracy) and POSACC (positional accuracy). Currently, CATZOC is portrayed in ECDIS as an additional layer with glyphs using a rating system of stars: six to two stars for the best to lowest quality data and “U” for unassessed data (see Table 1). The layer may be activated and de-activated by mariners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZOC</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>THU(m)</th>
<th>TVU(m)</th>
<th>FullSeabed Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5+1%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1+2%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1+2%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2+5%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>&gt;2+5%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it can be seen in the examples of Figure 1, the current CATZOC symbology adds significant clutter on ECDIS screens, obscures high-quality more than low-quality data, may not be visible in small areas, is not intuitive, and dominates the screen, especially in dusk and night modes of ECDIS. Consequently, CATZOC is often not used and horizontal and vertical uncertainty may not be adequately assessed by the user, something that is confirmed by maritime accidents reports (e.g., DSB, 2017; BSU, 2020) and research (e.g., Harper et al., 2012; DQWG, 2016).
For the S-101 standard, the CATZOC attribute is replaced by the Quality of Bathymetric Data (QoBD) in the form of a decision tree incorporated in ECDIS. The five categories of assessed data A1, A2, B, C, and D are renamed to 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively. One more category "O" (Oceanic) is provided for the areas where water depth is deeper than 200m (and, thus, does not pose a threat to surface navigation), and an attribute for the temporal variation of the seabed has been added (details in IHO S-101 Annex A). Other than that, the horizontal and vertical uncertainties and the seabed coverage criteria for each category remain unchanged. Due to the recognized deficiencies of the star symbols, the International Hydrographic Organization (IHO) Data Quality Working Group (DQWG) considers that “the current staggered pattern symbology of CATZOC should not be used in S-101” (DQWG, 2019a). The new visualization method for CATZOC and the S-101 QoBD in ECDIS has been a topic of research over the last decade, however it is yet to be selected.

PREVIOUS RESEARCH EFFORTS

Hare et al. (2011) used a continuous color scale to represent bathymetric uncertainty in their work on modelling of bathymetric uncertainty, a technique commonly used by hydrographers for the visualization of high resolution bathymetry and the associated depth uncertainty (e.g., Bathymetry Attributed Grids). With this they demonstrated the potential uses of the new IHO S-10X product specifications (e.g., S-102) while discussing under keel-clearance and the visualization of go / no-go areas with a red-green traffic-light display.

Two other visualization techniques were proposed by the IHO DQWG and Nautical Cartography Working Group (NCWG). In the work of the former, the quality levels are visualized with line textures of varying transparency. The work of the NCWG presented the use of four different coding schemes, i.e., a ring pattern of different hues (green, yellow, red, and white), single hue with variation in color value, and two with pie charts. However, both works targeted the four quality tiers that were under consideration at the time (i.e., good, fair, low-quality, and unassessed) (see e.g., DQWG, 2015) but officially abandoned in the 10th meeting of the DQWG (DQWG, 2016). As such, the proposals are not directly applicable to the six levels of CATZOC and QoBD (seven including the oceanic). Furthermore, the DQWG identified as primary drawbacks of the NCWG proposal the ambiguity of color value and the severe display clutter of pie charts (DQWG, 2016). Furthermore, the proposed ring patterns make use of color hues that are not recommended for the application (see DQWG, 2015) as they were intended for use in under-keel clearance, and dominate the screen view in most ECDIS modes.

The most comprehensive work on the visualization of data quality in ECDIS is that by Gladisch and Ruth (2016). Therein, the authors discuss common practices in the visualization of spatial data uncertainty, record the deficiencies of the current star symbology, review the previous research efforts, and investigate the use of noise, transparency, and textures for the visualization of data quality. The grid and hexagon textures they proposed are effective in all ECDIS modes. The main deficiencies of the method are the use of the same, very dense, texture for QoBD 5 and U, and, as the DQWG points out, that it is not intuitive and adds considerable clutter in ECDIS screen (DQWG, 2019b).

Lastly, in 2017 the DQWG proposed a method using color codes (DQWG, 2017). The main idea was to combine the safety contour, safety depth, and the four shades of blue used for the color coding of depth areas in ECDIS, with the populated QoBD values into a single view. This idea was presented at the 9th meeting of the Hydrographic Services and
Standards Committee (HSSC) but raised a few concerns, and the HSSC members decided that some issues remained to be addressed and that the possible options should first mature prior to an official proposal (HSSC, 2017).

STUDY AND PROPOSED SOLUTION

Ideally, symbology to display data quality on an ECDIS screen should:

1. Minimally interfere with the other charted information,
2. Unambiguously relate to the QoBD categories,
3. Emphasize the areas of greater uncertainty,
4. Be easy to remember, and
5. Be effective in all ECDIS modes (i.e., day bright, day whiteback, day blackback, dusk, and night).

Figure 2: Examples of the utilization of the visual variables of hue (a), lightness (b), size (c), shape (d), orientation (e), and density (f) for the visualization of the six levels of ZOC on ENCs (Kastrisios et al., 2020a)

Most primary and secondary color hues (Figure 2a) are already reserved for other uses in the ENC/ECDIS or possibly not suitable for all ECDIS modes. In addition, the experimental results of MacEachren et al. (2012) have showed that
color hue has very low intuitiveness. Color lightness/value (Figure 2b) and saturation lead to visualizations that may obscure important underlying areal object on charts (e.g., the color coding of depth areas for shallow and deep waters). Furthermore, for all three dimensions of color the portrayed layer of data quality can become dominant in dusk and night modes. Transparency may alter user’s perception of the color coding of the depth areas. The visual variable of shapes (Figure 2d) has no intuitiveness and the decoding of ZOC categories necessitates the use of a legend. With size, orientation, and density/grain (Figures 2c, 2e, and 2f, respectively), the identification of the different CATZOC levels becomes ambiguous whenever only a few of the levels are displayed.

Satisfying the set of requirements for ZOC visualization with a single visual variable can be challenging. The solution that seems most promising is to use a sequence of textures created by combining two or more visual variables. The advantages of textures are that they are minimally used in current ECDIS displays, and if they consist of open meshes they are expected to minimally interfere with other chart information (unlike, e.g., opaque colors or color transparency). Each texture must be designed to be clearly distinct from the previous one so that their values can be unambiguously perceived. Each texture should be visually denser than the last, with denser textures representing greater uncertainty. Furthermore, we are suggesting a boolean strategy for distinguishing between assessed (i.e., QoBD 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and Oceanic) and unassessed (i.e., QoBD U) data.

Table 2 shows an example of a coding scheme with textures consisting of lines. The fundamental principle is that the number of lines represent the QoBD, e.g., one solid line for QoBD 1, three lines (one single and one double) for QoBD 3, and five lines (two double and one dash lines) for QoBD 5. Oblique lines are used for assessed data (i.e., QoBD 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5), whereas vertical-horizontal lines represent the unassessed data (i.e., quality “U”). Lastly, additional information is conveyed by the single and double lines (i.e., full vs not-full seafloor coverage has been achieved).

Table 2: A sample coding scheme of textures consisting of single, double, and dashed lines. Variation in transparency and orientation of lines is also used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZOC</th>
<th>QoBD</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Texture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows an example of the textures of lines presented in Table 2 superimposed on a US ENC (US4NC15M, Cape Lookout to New River, North Carolina). The texture emphasizes uncertainty while reducing visual clutter (compared to star symbols) by varying density and transparency. Increasing density and opacity of lines indicate higher uncertainty values (lower quality data). An evaluation of the illustrated solution shows that it meets the criteria outlined previously with the primary advantage of being an intuitive representation of the different QoBD categories. This and other coding schemes based on the same principles that are under consideration, along with ideas by others (e.g., DQWG, 2019a) will be evaluated in the form an on-line questionnaire which will be distributed to the mariner community. This questionnaire is designed to evaluate a set of alternative coding schemes in terms of their ability to meet the requirement set in the first paragraph of the current section.
A further aspect of the research project is the determination of the area to be visualized. Prior solutions use a layer that covers either the entire extent of the chart or a zone of fixed width around the plotted route. We are investigating the use of a zone of variable width equal to the horizontal uncertainty in the area and its incorporation in the hazards appraisal performed by ECDIS. The advantage of this lies in the fact that shoals charted with high certainty (e.g., ZOC A1 / QoBD 1) not in the vicinity of the plotted route (which therefore do not pose a threat) will not be treated as a threat, but those with higher horizontal uncertainty would.

Besides the visualization of the CATZOC / QoBD sectors in ECDIS, we are also investigating the visualization of depth and positional uncertainty of individual bathymetric features (e.g., wrecks, underwater rocks, obstructions) and their incorporation in ECDIS analysis. The logical solution for their positional uncertainty is the use of an error-band geometry approach [e.g., Foy (2011)] that is already under consideration by the DQWG (2020). For the depth uncertainty, this can be incorporated in ECDIS for the adjustment of the charted depth and the consequent display of this depth value on ECDIS for the mariner’s awareness whenever necessary. It is noted that the resulting error-band geometry and the depth uncertainty of features may be uniform or non-uniform in the same M_QUAL as other quality indicator may have been encoded, e.g., POSACC and SOUACC. Cases that require special treatment, such as features in navigable channels or near the boundaries of two areas with different QoBD categories, are under consideration. Other factors include the color (e.g., magenta, black), type of line (e.g., solid, dashed, or dotted), size of font and line, location of the displayed adjusted depth (e.g., over the hazard, on the outline of the circle), and minimum visible sizes.

CONCLUSION

This paper discusses the concept of CATZOC for bathymetric data quality in ENCs and its visualization and integration in ECDIS analysis in support of safe marine navigation. To overcome the deficiencies of the current star symbology it presents a research methodology for the development of a new coding scheme that includes the determination of application specific requirements and the evaluation of the visual variables for their suitability. It proposes the use of a sequence of textures created by combining two or more visual variables and presents an example consisting of lines with variation in the type (single, double, and dashed lines), the transparency, and the orientation of lines. The proposed solution is intuitive and unambiguous, emphasizes the areas of greater uncertainty, and is effective in all ECDIS modes. It also discusses the integration of bathymetric data uncertainty in ECDIS analysis with the use of a zone around the plotted route with width that is equal to the horizontal uncertainty encoded in CATZOC and error-band geometries around individual features. The advantage of the investigated approach is that features charted with high certainty not in the vicinity of the plotted course will not be treated as a threat (thus reducing useless alarms with a large user-defined zone width), whereas those with higher horizontal uncertainty are incorporated into the analysis (thus eliminating the risk of actual dangers that are overlooked due to a small user-defined zone width).
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